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## $\operatorname{Bis}[\mu-1$-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)ethanolato-O:O]bis(dimethylaluminium)

The title compound, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, formed by the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$-mediated alkylation of mesitylaldehyde, is dimeric in the solid state, with a central $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ planar ring core residing on an inversion centre.

## Comment

For many years, aluminium alkoxides and aryloxides have been utilized as reagents in organic synthesis (Zietz et al., 1983). However, the development of heterobimetallic reagents for use in asymmetric transformations has led to an increased awareness of the importance of aluminium in synthesis (Yamamoto \& Maruoka, 1988; Saito \& Yamamoto, 1997; Saito et al., 1998; Saito et al., 1999; Cogan \& Ellman, 1999). In this regard, we have recently reported the syntheses and solidstate structures of dimethylaluminium enolates and aryloxides, produced from the reactions of trimethylaluminium and aromatic methyl ketones (Allan et al., 2000). The unexpected formation of enolate species was found to be a consequence of steric crowding in the ketones, when both the 2 - and 6 -positions of the aromatic rings carried methyl groups. We report here the structure of the title compound, (I), which is produced from the addition reaction between trimethylaluminium and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzaldehyde (mesitylaldehyde).

(I)

As can be seen from Fig. 1, complex (I) adopts a dimeric structure with a planar central $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ring core. The molecule is crystallographically centrosymmetric. Each Al atom is tetracoordinate by bonding to two methyl groups and two bridging O atoms. Although the average of the angles around each metal is $108.4^{\circ}$, these vary from $80.20(9)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ to $119.49(16)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 2$, giving a highly distorted pseudo-tetrahedral geometry at aluminium [symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z]$. The internal $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ring angles at the metal atoms are smaller than at the O atoms [80.20 (9) and $99.80(9)^{\circ}$, respectively], which is consistent with $s p^{2}$ hybridi-
$\qquad$


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I) with unique atom labels and $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.
zation for the bridging O atoms (van der Steen et al., 1991). The two independent $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are essentially the same at 1.844 (2) and 1.848 (2) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ respectively. Similarly, the remaining bond lengths and angles within (I) are in accord with those found in related systems (Schumann et al., 1996; Hitchcock et al., 1990; Sierra et al., 1989).

It is notable that the $\alpha$-C atom C3 lies $0.434 \AA$ out of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ring plane, and that the groups attached to this atom are staggered with respect to the ring, with torsion angles of -30.5 (4), 86.3 and -157.3 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5$, respectively. These differ markedly from those found in the closely related enolate analogue [ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlOC}\left(2,4,6-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ], (II), where the olefin bond lies close to the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ring plane (Allan et al., 2000). This difference is most likely a consequence of the $s p^{3}$ versus $s p^{2}$ hybridization of the $\alpha$-C atoms in (I) and (II), respectively, which alters the minimum energy orientation of the attached groups.

## Experimental

Under an inert atmosphere of argon gas, mesitylaldehyde ( 0.35 g , 2.36 mmol ) was added dropwise to a 195 K cooled solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ ( 2.4 mmol of a $2 M$ solution in toluene) in 3 ml of toluene, producing a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to ambient temperature with constant stirring and stirred for a further 20 h . All toluene was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in 5 ml of hexane and a crystalline product was obtained on cooling the mixture to 245 K for 24 h . Yield: $0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 29.5 \%$; m.p. 417$419 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.66(\operatorname{broad} s, 2 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 5.58$ $(q, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCO}), 2.54(\operatorname{broad} s, 3 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}), 2.14(\operatorname{broad} s$, $3 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}), 2.01$ ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 1.36 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), -0.44 , $-0.53,-0.62(s, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Al})$. The presence of three signals for the methyl groups attached to the metal and the broad resonances obtained for some of the ligand H atoms indicates that a dynamic process is in operation in solution.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]} & D_{x}=1.110 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
M_{r}=440.56 & \text { Cu } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
\text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / c & \text { Cell parameters from } 32 \\
a=7.224(3) \AA \AA & \text { reflections } \\
b=15.380(6) \AA & \theta=19.0-28.0^{\circ} \\
c=12.204(5) \AA & \mu=1.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
\beta=103.48(4)^{\circ} & T=160(2) \mathrm{K} \\
V=1318.6(9) \AA^{3} & \text { Block, colourless } \\
Z=2 & 0.7 \times 0.7 \times 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Data collection

Stoe-Siemens diffractometer $\quad h=-7 \rightarrow 8$ $\omega / \theta$ scans
2201 measured reflections
2201 independent reflections
1912 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=67.5^{\circ}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.077$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.217$
$S=1.05$
2201 reflections
143 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=-7 \rightarrow 8 \\
& k=-14 \rightarrow 18 \\
& l=-10 \rightarrow 14 \\
& 5 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 60 \text { min } \\
& \quad \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.1463 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.8656 P \text { ] } \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.003 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.54 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.84 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: SHELXTL } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.011 \text { (2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left({ }^{\mathrm{A}},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.844(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.950(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.848(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.448(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.950(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $80.20(9)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $119.49(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $111.46(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}$ | $132.26(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $110.88(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $123.53(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $113.67(13)$ | $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $99.80(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $114.63(13)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$.

The high-angle data $\left(\theta=60-67.5^{\circ}\right)$ are incomplete because of restrictions imposed by the low-temperature device; data up to $\theta=$ $60^{\circ}$ are $98 \%$ complete. H atoms were placed geometrically and refined with a riding model (including free rotation about $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds), and with $U_{\text {iso }}$ constrained to be 1.2 ( 1.5 for methyl groups) times $U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom.

Data collection: DIF4 (Stoe \& Cie, 1988); cell refinement: DIF4; data reduction: local programs; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and local programs.
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